


Las **principales fuentes** en las que se ha efectuado las búsquedas bibliográficas para esta selección han sido:

1. PubMed - <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/>
2. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) - <http://www.nice.org.uk/>
3. Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN) - <http://www.sign.ac.uk/>
4. New Zealand Guidelines Group (NZGG) - <http://www.nzgg.org.nz/>
5. Centre for Reviews and Dissemination (CRD) - <http://www.crd.york.ac.uk/crdweb/>


Los **límites** utilizados en la búsqueda de estudios han sido:

- **Fecha de publicación:** posteriores **a mayo de 2009**
- **Idiomas:** estudios en inglés, francés, español, italiano y portugués.

Las búsquedas se realizaron en el mes de **noviembre de 2009**.

	Bibliografía sobre Revisiones Sistemáticas	Fecha creación: 04/12/2009
		Fecha actualización: 04/12/2009

- 1 Understanding statistical terms. 5. Systematic reviews and meta-analyses. Drug Ther Bull. 2009;47(8):95-6. PM:19654420.
- 2 Al FK, Al-Omran M. Reporting and methodologic quality of Cochrane Neonatal review group systematic reviews. BMC Pediatr. 2009;9:38. PM:19534780.
- 3 Dwamena BA. Evidence-based radiology: step 3--diagnostic systematic review and meta-analysis (critical appraisal). Semin Roentgenol. 2009;44(3):170-9. PM:19480901.
- 4 Elamin MB, Flynn DN, Bassler D, Briel M,onso-Coello P, Karanicolas PJ, et al. Choice of data extraction tools for systematic reviews depends on resources and review complexity. J Clin Epidemiol. 2009;62(5):506-10. PM:19348977.
- 5 Furlan AD, Pennick V, Bombardier C, van TM. 2009 Updated method guidelines for systematic reviews in the cochrane back review group. Spine. 2009;34(18):1929-41.
- 6 Juni P, Egger M. PRISMAtic reporting of systematic reviews and meta-analyses. Lancet. 2009;374(9697):1221-3. PM:19819375.
- 7 Kastner M, Wilczynski NL, McKibbin AK, Garg AX, Haynes RB. Diagnostic test systematic reviews: bibliographic search filters ("Clinical Queries") for diagnostic accuracy studies perform well. J Clin Epidemiol. 2009;62(9):974-81. PM:19230607.
- 8 Leucht S, Kissling W, Davis JM. How to read and understand and use systematic reviews and meta-analyses. Acta Psychiatr Scand. 2009;119(6):443-50. PM:19469725.
- 9 Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, Mulrow C, Gotzsche PC, Ioannidis JP, et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration. PLoS Med. 2009;6(7):e1000100. PM:19621070.
- 10 Major MP, Warren S, Flores-Mir C. Survey of systematic review authors in dentistry: challenges in methodology and reporting. J Dent Educ. 2009;73(4):471-82. PM:19339434.
- 11 Manriquez JJ. Searching the LILACS database could improve systematic reviews in dermatology. Arch Dermatol. 2009;145(8):947-8. PM:19687435.

	Bibliografía sobre Revisiones Sistemáticas	Fecha creación: 04/12/2009
		Fecha actualización: 04/12/2009

- 12 Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *PLoS Med.* 2009;6(7):e1000097. PM:19621072.
- 13 Morrison A, Moulton K, Clark M, Polisena J, Fiander M, Mierzwinski-Urban M, et al. English-Language Restriction When Conducting Systematic Review-based Metaanalyses: Systematic Review of Published Studies. Ottawa: Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health; 2009.
- 14 Murphy SL, Robinson JC, Lin SH. Conducting systematic reviews to inform occupational therapy practice. *Am J Occup Ther.* 2009;63(3):363-8. PM:19522145.
- 15 Serrano-Aguilar P, Trujillo-Martin MM, Ramos-Goni JM, Mahtani-Chugani V, Perestelo-Perez L, Posada-de la PM. Patient involvement in health research: a contribution to a systematic review on the effectiveness of treatments for degenerative ataxias. *Soc Sci Med.* 2009;69(6):920-5. PM:19647357.
- 16 Shea BJ, Hamel C, Wells GA, Bouter LM, Kristjansson E, Grimshaw J, et al. AMSTAR is a reliable and valid measurement tool to assess the methodological quality of systematic reviews. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2009;62(10):1013-20. PM:19230606.
- 17 Simunovic N, Sprague S, Bhandari M. Methodological issues in systematic reviews and meta-analyses of observational studies in orthopaedic research. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2009;91 Suppl 3:87-94. PM:19411505.
- 18 Tricco AC, Pham B, Brehaut J, Tetroe J, Cappelli M, Hopewell S, et al. An international survey indicated that unpublished systematic reviews exist. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2009;62(6):617-23. PM:19162440.
- 19 van der Molen HF, Hoonakker PL, Lehtola MM, Hsiao H, Haslam RA, Hale AR, et al. Writing a Cochrane systematic review on preventive interventions to improve safety: the case of the construction industry. *Med Lav.* 2009;100(4):258-67. PM:19764181.
- 20 Wells GA, Sultan SA, Chen L, Khan M, Coyle D. Indirect Evidence: Indirect Treatment Comparisons in Meta-Analysis. Ottawa: Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health; 2009.
- 21 Yuan Y, Hunt RH. Systematic reviews: the good, the bad, and the ugly. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2009;104(5):1086-92. PM:19417748.